Data Integration and Batch Correction

May 2023

Single Cell RNAseq Analysis Workflow



Why do we need to think about data integration?

There are generally three reasons for this

- Batch effects:
 - Process samples in batches, different dates, different technicians, different technologies etc

Biological effects:

- A study involving male and female subjects with the same disease will often have gender-specific clusters when visualized using t-SNE.
- Need to integrate to remove the "gender" effect and to identify shared cell types.

Distinct cellular modalities:

- For examples for the same study one may profile single cell level transcriptomics or spatial transcriptomics or single cell's immunophenotype
- Integration is required to to get comprehensive functional understanding of these data sets.

Data Integration Workflow

Formatting our data

A few ways our data can be arranged (software-dependent too)

- one large SCE object containing many samples
- many single-sample SCE objects, QC'd in isolation
- multiple large SCE objects with multiple samples

Important we make sure things match up

- Different bioconductor versions
- Different analysts may have formatted things differently

Cellranger aggr

A useful quick look



Checking for batch effects

Batch Corrections

- Gaussian/Linear Regression removeBatchEffect (limma), comBat (sva), rescaleBatches or regressBatches (batchelor)
- Mutual Nearest Neighbours (MNN) correction Haghverdi et al 2018
 - mnnCorrect (batchelor)
 - FastMNN (batchelor)
- And many more!
 - Different methods may have strenghts and weaknesses
 - Benchmark studies can be used as a reference to choose suitable method



- 1. Perform a multi-sample PCA on the (cosine-)normalized expression values to reduce dimensionality.
- 2. Identify MNN pairs in the low-dimensional space between a reference batch and a target batch.
- 3. Remove variation along the average batch vector in both reference and target batches.
- 4. Correct the cells in the target batch towards the reference, using locally weighted correction vectors.
- 5. Merge the corrected target batch with the reference, and repeat with the next target batch.





































- 1. Perform a multi-sample PCA on the (cosine-)normalized expression values to reduce dimensionality.
- 2. Identify MNN pairs in the low-dimensional space between a reference batch and a target batch.
- 3. Remove variation along the average batch vector in both reference and target batches.
- 4. Correct the cells in the target batch towards the reference, using locally weighted correction vectors.
- 5. Merge the corrected target batch with the reference, and repeat with the next target batch.



Assumptions (quoted from the paper):

- 1. There is at least one cell population that is present in both batches,
- 2. the batch effect is almost orthogonal [i.e. uncorrelated] to the biological subspace, and
- 3. the batch-effect variation is much smaller than the biological-effect variation between different cell types

Checking our correction has worked

We can look at the 'mixing' between batches and calculate the variance in the log-normalized cell abundances across batches for each cluster.

Clusters are ranked by variance for manual inspection.

If variance is too high it could indicate there isn't sufficient correction.

##	Batch							
##	Cluster	ETV6-RUNX1_1	ETV6-RUNX1_2	ETV6-RUNX1_3	ETV6-RUNX1_4	HHD_1	HHD_2	PBMMC_1
##	7	341	355	195	202	253	393	68
##	5	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
##	15	4	9	170	27	21	2	62

Checking our correction has worked



- Investigating which clusters from before correction are nested inside the clusters after correction can help us decide if our correction has worked.
- Did the whole before cluster from one sample go into an after cluster or was it broken apart?
- Perfect nesting would be indicated by one orange/red block in each row
- But do we want that?

Checking our correction hasn't over worked

- If you use fastMNN in the absence of a batch effect, it may not work correctly
- It is possible to remove genuine biological heterogeneity
- fastMNN can be instructed to skip the batch correction if the batch effect is below a threshold. You can use the effect sizes it calculates to do this.
- In reality the absence of any batch effect would warrant further investigation.

Checking our correction hasn't over worked

- One way to measure if we have retained heterogeneity is to look at the agreement between clusters before and after correction
- Adjusted Rand Index
- ▶ HIGH = GOOD (eg. 0.8 = within batch variation is retained)



ARI can also be broken down into per-cluster ratios

Checking our correction hasn't over worked

- There is also an MNN specific metric we can calculate called 'lost variance'
- How much variance within each batch has been removed by the correction
- ▶ Ideal < 0.1 or 10%
- Higher levels indicate artificial smoothing of data

The value in batch correction is that it enables you to see population heterogeneity within clusters/celltypes across batches.

Also increases the number of cells you have

However the corrected values should not be used for gene based analysis eg. $\mathsf{DE}/\mathsf{marker}$ detection.

fastMNN doesn't preserve the magnitude or direction of per-gene expression and may have introduced artificial agreement between batches on the gene level.