# Introduction to Bulk RNAseq data analysis

Annotation and Visualisation of Differential Expression Results

Last modified: 01 Jul 2021

# Contents

Overview	1
Adding annotation to the DESeq2 results	<b>2</b>
Query the database	2
A curated annotation - one we prepared earlier	5
Visualisation	5
P-value histogram	5
Shrinking the log2FoldChange	6
MA plots	7
Volcano Plots	7
Exercise 1 - Volcano plot for 33 days	9
Venn Diagram	10
Heatmap	11
library(AnnotationHub) library(AnnotationDbi) library(DESeq2) library(tidyverse)	

Before starting this section, we will make sure we have all the relevant objects from the Differential Expression analysis.

```
ddsObj.interaction <- readRDS("RObjects/DESeqDataSet.interaction.rds")
results.interaction.11 <- readRDS("RObjects/DESeqResults.interaction_d11.rds")
results.interaction.33 <- readRDS("RObjects/DESeqResults.interaction_d33.rds")</pre>
```

# Overview

- Getting annotation
- Visualising DE results

# Adding annotation to the DESeq2 results

We have a list of significantly differentially expressed genes, but the only annotation we can see is the Ensembl Gene ID, which is not very informative.

There are a number of ways to add annotation. One method is to do this using a Bioconductor annotation package. These packages which are re-built every periodically with the latest annotations. These packages are listed on the annotation section of the Bioconductor, and are installed in the same way as regular Bioconductor packages.

An another approach is to use **biomaRt**, an interface to the BioMart resource. Using BioMart ensures that you are able to get the latest annotations for the GeneIDs, and can match the version of the gene annotation that was used for read counting.

A third method is to use AnnotationHub, this is like the bioconductor packages but in an online database like bioMaRt. They keep them slightly more up to date than the standard bioconductor packages and each time you use them the results are cached on your machine.

Today we will use the AnnotationHub method. A workflow for annotation with biomaRt is included in the extended materials section accessible on the course website.

#### Query the database

First we need to get the correct database from AnnotationHub. We make the instance (the first time we do this it will create a local cache on your machine so that repeat queries are very quick).

As you can see **ah** contains huge amounts of information and it is constantly changing. This is why it gives us the snapshot date so we know when our cached version is from. The **ah** object actually online contains pointers to where all the information is online and we don't want to download all of them as it would take a very long time and we don't need all of it.

This object is a vector and you can get information about a single resource by indexing with a single bracket [ or download a resource with a double bracket [[.

```
# create an annotationhub instance
ah <- AnnotationHub()</pre>
```

```
## Warning: DEPRECATION: As of AnnotationHub (>2.23.2), default caching location has changed.
## Problematic cache: /Users/edward03/Library/Caches/AnnotationHub
```

```
## See https://bioconductor.org/packages/devel/bioc/vignettes/AnnotationHub/inst/doc/TroubleshootingT
```

```
ah
```

```
## AnnotationHub with 59557 records
## # snapshotDate(): 2021-05-18
## # $dataprovider: Ensembl, BroadInstitute, UCSC, ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/g...
## # $species: Homo sapiens, Mus musculus, Drosophila melanogaster, Bos taurus,...
## # $rdataclass: GRanges, TwoBitFile, BigWigFile, EnsDb, Rle, OrgDb, ChainFile...
## # additional mcols(): taxonomyid, genome, description,
## #
       coordinate_1_based, maintainer, rdatadateadded, preparerclass, tags,
## #
       rdatapath, sourceurl, sourcetype
## # retrieve records with, e.g., 'object[["AH5012"]]'
##
##
               title
##
     AH5012 | Chromosome Band
```

AH5013 | STS Markers ## ## AH5014 | FISH Clones ## AH5015 | Recomb Rate AH5016 | ENCODE Pilot ## ## . . . . . . ## AH95564 | org.Talaromyces\_atroroseus.eg.sqlite AH95565 | CTCF hg19.RData ## AH95566 | CTCF\_hg38.RData ## ## AH95567 | CTCF\_mm9.RData ## AH95568 | CTCF\_mm10.RData

ah[1]

```
## AnnotationHub with 1 record
## # snapshotDate(): 2021-05-18
## # names(): AH5012
## # $dataprovider: UCSC
## # $species: Homo sapiens
## # $rdataclass: GRanges
## # $rdatadateadded: 2013-03-26
## # $title: Chromosome Band
## # $description: GRanges object from UCSC track 'Chromosome Band'
## # $taxonomyid: 9606
## # $genome: hg19
## # $sourcetype: UCSC track
## # $sourceurl: rtracklayer://hgdownload.cse.ucsc.edu/goldenpath/hg19/database...
## # $sourcesize: NA
## # $tags: c("cytoBand", "UCSC", "track", "Gene", "Transcript",
## # "Annotation")
## # retrieve record with 'object[["AH5012"]]'
```

# Download the database we want to use
MouseEnsDb <- query(ah, c("EnsDb", "Mus musculus", "102"))[[1]]</pre>

We can turn the whole Mouse database we have just downloaded into a data frame so we can work with it using the tidyverse suite of tools.

```
annotations <- genes(MouseEnsDb, return.type = "data.frame")</pre>
# lets see what information we have
colnames(annotations)
## [1] "gene id"
                                "gene name"
                                                       "gene_biotype"
## [4] "gene_seq_start"
                                "gene_seq_end"
                                                       "seq_name"
## [7] "seq strand"
                                "seq coord system"
                                                       "description"
## [10] "gene_id_version"
                                "canonical_transcript" "symbol"
## [13] "entrezid"
annot <- annotations %>%
  dplyr::select(gene_id, gene_name, entrezid) %>%
  dplyr::filter(gene_id %in% rownames(results.interaction.11))
```

#### **One-to-many** relationships

Let's inspect the annotation.

head(annot)

##		gene_id	gene_name	entrezid
##	1	ENSMUSG0000051951	Xkr4	497097
##	2	ENSMUSG0000025900	Rp1	19888
##	3	ENSMUSG0000025902	Sox17	20671
##	4	ENSMUSG00000102269	Gm7357	NA
##	5	ENSMUSG00000103922	Gm6123	NA
##	6	ENSMUSG0000033845	Mrpl15	27395

length(annot\$entrezid)

## [1] 20091

length(unique(annot\$entrezid))

## [1] 17278

sum(is.na(annot\$entrezid)) # Why are there NAs in the ENTREZID column?

## [1] 2782

There are some Ensembl IDs with no EntrezID. These gene ids has no corresponding Entrez ID in the EnsDb database package. The Ensembl and Entrez databases don't match on a 1:1 level although they have started taking steps towards consolidating in recent years.

There are some genes that have multiple entries in the retrieved annotation. This is because there are multiple Entrez IDs for a single Ensembl gene. These one-to-many relationships come up frequently in genomic databases, it is important to be aware of them and check when necessary.

We will need to do a little work to account for these one-to-many relationships before adding the annotation to our results table. We could decide that the mappings are ambiguous and elect to discard both of the Entrez ID mappings. We could concatenate the Entrez IDs so that we don't lose information. Alternatively, we could spend some time manually comparing the details of the genes on the Ensembl and NCBI websites and make a decision as to which Entrez ID to keep, e.g. Rpl13:

- Ensembl: ENSMUSG0000000740
- Entrez ID: 270106
- Entrez ID: 100040416

In this case it would perhaps makes most sense to discard the Entrez ID **100040416**, which is for a pseudogene.

In this case the problems with the annotation aren't due to the versions as we requested release 102 which is the same as the version 102 of the gtf we used for counting reads in Day 1. It is good to keep an eye on these things so you don't miss releases and know exactly which one you are using at there are often changes albeit minor ones.

The is another set of databases within AnnotationHub which you can call instead called OrgDb which give you the 'latest' version and are more similar to the bioconductor packages if you are more familiar with those. They contain slightly more information than the EnsDb records.

## A curated annotation - one we prepared earlier

Dealing with all the one-to-many annotation mappings requires some manual curation of your annotation table.

To save time we have created an annotation table in which we have modified the column names and dealt with the one-to-many/missing issues for Entrez IDs.

The code we used for doing this is available in the extended materials section.

```
ensemblAnnot <- readRDS("RObjects/Ensembl_annotations.rds")
colnames(ensemblAnnot)

## [1] "GeneID" "Entrez" "Symbol" "Description" "Biotype"
## [6] "Chr" "Start" "End" "Strand"
annot.interaction.11 <- as.data.frame(results.interaction.11) %>%
rownames_to_column("GeneID") %>%
left_join(ensemblAnnot, "GeneID") %>%
```

Finally we can output the annotation DE results using write\_tsv.

rename(logFC=log2FoldChange, FDR=padj)

write\_tsv(annot.interaction.11, "results/Interaction.11\_Results\_Annotated.txt")

# Visualisation

#### P-value histogram

A quick and easy "sanity check" for our DE results is to generate a p-value histogram. What we should see is a high bar at 0 - 0.05 and then a roughly uniform tail to the right of this. There is a nice explanation of other possible patterns in the histogram and what to do when you see them in this post.

hist(annot.interaction.11\$pvalue)



# Histogram of annot.interaction.11\$pvalue

## Shrinking the log2FoldChange

DESeq2 provides a function called lfcShrink that shrinks log-Fold Change (LFC) estimates towards zero using and empirical Bayes procedure. The reason for doing this is that there is high variance in the LFC estimates when counts are low and this results in lowly expressed genes appearing to show greater differences between groups than highly expressed genes. The lfcShrink method compensates for this and allows better visualisation and ranking of genes. We will use it for our visualisation of the data.

## MA plots

MA plots are a common way to visualize the results of a differential analysis. We met them briefly towards the end of the DESeq2 session. This plot shows the log-Fold Change for each gene against its average expression across all samples in the two conditions being contrasted. DESeq2 has a handy function for plotting this. Let's use it too compare the shrunk and un-shrunk fold changes.

par(mfrow=c(1,2))
plotMA(results.interaction.11, alpha=0.05)
plotMA(ddsShrink.11, alpha=0.05)



The DESeq2 in plotMA function is fine for a quick look, but these inbuilt functions aren't easy to customise, make changes to the way it looks or add things such as gene labels. For this we would recommend using the ggplot package.

#### Volcano Plots

Another common visualisation is the *volcano plot* which displays a measure of significance on the y-axis and fold-change on the x-axis. We will use ggplot to create this.

#### A Brief Introduction to ggplot2

The ggplot2 package has emerged as an attractive alternative to the traditional plots provided by base R. A full overview of all capabilities of the package is available from the cheatsheet.

In brief:-

- shrinkTab.11 is our data frame containing the variables we wish to plot
- aes creates a mapping between the variables in our data frame to the *aes*thetic properties of the plot:
  - the x-axis will be mapped to logFC

- the y-axis will be mapped to the -log10(pvalue)
- geom\_point specifies the particular type of plot we want (in this case a scatter plot)
- geom\_text allows us to add labels to some or all of the points
  - see the cheatsheet for other plot types

The real advantage of ggplot2 is the ability to change the appearance of our plot by mapping other variables to aspects of the plot. For example, we could colour the points based on the sample group. To do this we can add metadata from the sampleinfo table to the data. The colours are automatically chosen by ggplot2, but we can specify particular values. For the volcano plot we will colour according whether the gene has a pvalue below 0.05. We use a -log10 transformation for the y-axis; it's commonly used for p-values as it means that more significant genes have a higher scale.

```
volcanoTab.11 <- shrinkTab.11 %>%
    mutate(`-log10(pvalue)` = -log10(pvalue))
ggplot(volcanoTab.11, aes(x = logFC, y=`-log10(pvalue)`)) +
    geom_point(aes(colour=pvalue < 0.05), size=1) +
    geom_text(data=~top_n(.x, 1, wt=-FDR), aes(label=Symbol))</pre>
```

## Warning: Removed 47 rows containing missing values (geom\_point).



## Exercise 1 - Volcano plot for 33 days

Now it's your turn! We just made the volcano plot for the 11 days contrast, you will make the one for the 33 days contrast.

If you haven't already make sure you load in our data and annotation. You can copy and paste the code below.

```
# First load data and annotations
results.interaction.33 <- readRDS("RObjects/DESeqResults.interaction_d33.rds")
ensemblAnnot <- readRDS("RObjects/Ensembl_annotations.rds")</pre>
```

(a) Shrink the results for the 33 days contrast.

```
## using 'ashr' for LFC shrinkage. If used in published research, please cite:
## Stephens, M. (2016) False discovery rates: a new deal. Biostatistics, 18:2.
```

```
## https://doi.org/10.1093/biostatistics/kxw041
```

- (b) Create a new column of -log10(pvalue) values in your shrinkTab for 33 days.
- (c) Create a plot with points coloured by P-value < 0.05 similar to how we did in the first volcano plot

## Warning: Removed 47 rows containing missing values (geom\_point).



(d) Compare these two volcano plots, what differences can you see between the two contrasts?

## Venn Diagram

In the paper you may notice they have presented a Venn diagram of the results.



We will recreate it with our analysis. To do this we are using the package ggvenn which is an extension to ggplot from Linlin Yan.

#### library(ggvenn)

#### ## Loading required package: grid

First we have to prepare the data with a column for each set we want in the Venn.

```
vennDat <- tibble(Geneid=rownames(results.interaction.11)) %>%
mutate(Upregulated_11 = results.interaction.11$padj < 0.05 & !is.na(results.interaction.11$padj) & re
mutate(Downregulated_11 = results.interaction.11$padj < 0.05 & !is.na(results.interaction.11$padj) & re
mutate(Upregulated_33 = results.interaction.33$padj < 0.05 & !is.na(results.interaction.33$padj) & re
mutate(Downregulated_33 = results.interaction.33$padj < 0.05 & !is.na(results.interaction.33$padj) & re
mutate(Downregulated_33 = results.interaction.33$padj < 0.05 & !is.na(results.interaction.33$padj) & re</pre>
```

```
ggvenn(vennDat, set_name_size = 4)
```



#### Heatmap

We're going to use the package ComplexHeatmap (Gu2016?). We'll also use circlize to generate a colour scale (Gu2014?).

```
library(ComplexHeatmap)
library(circlize)
```

We can't plot the entire data set, let's just select the top 300 by FDR. We'll want to use normalised expression values, so we'll use the vst function.

```
# get the top genes
sigGenes <- shrinkTab.11 %>%
    top_n(300, wt=-FDR) %>%
    pull("GeneID")
# filter the data for the top 300 by padj
plotDat <- vst(ddsObj.interaction)[sigGenes,] %>%
    assay()
```

The range expression values for different genes can vary widely. Some genes will have very high expression. Our heatmap is going to be coloured according to gene expression. If we used a colour scale from 0 (no expression) to the maximum expression, the scale will be dominated by our most extreme genes and it will be difficult to discern any difference between most of the genes.

To overcome this we will z-scale the counts. This scaling method results in values for each that show the number of standard deviations the gene expression is from the mean for that gene across all the sample - the mean will be '0,' '1' means 1 standard deviation higher than the mean, '-1' means 1 standard deviation lower than the mean.

```
z.mat <- t(scale(t(plotDat), center=TRUE, scale=TRUE))</pre>
```

```
# colour palette
myPalette <- c("royalblue3", "ivory", "orangered3")
myRamp <- colorRamp2(c(-2, 0, 2), myPalette)</pre>
```



we can also split the heat map into clusters and add some annotation.

ha1 = HeatmapAnnotation(df = colData(ddsObj.interaction)[,c("Status", "TimePoint")])
Heatmap(z.mat, name = "z-score",

```
col = myRamp,
show_row_name = FALSE,
split=3,
rect_gp = gpar(col = "lightgrey", lwd=0.3),
top_annotation = ha1)
```



Whenever we teach this session several student always ask how to set the colours of the bars at the top of the heatmap. This is shown below.

ha1 = HeatmapAnnotation(df = colData(ddsObj.interaction)[,c("Status", "TimePoint")], col = list(Status

```
Heatmap(z.mat, name = "z-score",
    col = myRamp,
    show_row_name = FALSE,
    split=3,
    rect_gp = gpar(col = "lightgrey", lwd=0.3),
    top_annotation = ha1)
```



saveRDS(annot.interaction.11, file="results/Annotated\_Results.d11.rds")
saveRDS(shrinkTab.11, file="results/Shrunk\_Results.d11.rds")
saveRDS(annot.interaction.33, file="results/Annotated\_Results.d33.rds")
saveRDS(shrinkTab.33, file="results/Shrunk\_Results.d33.rds")